Whitney Baker and Sara Morris attended the meeting to discuss PTR processes. After the completion of the PTR process, LCPT was unsure whether they were the correct committee to do this review. They identified a conflict of interest because LCPT committee members could potentially see PTR files and P&T files for the same person. The PTR process is just very different than the PTTR and P&T process. Sara and Whitney also pointed out that the point of PTR is not to suggest whether people should go up for promotion.

There is also a workload issue because PTR & PTTR have similar timelines which creates a lot of work. LCPT suggested that the Sabbatical committee take responsibility for the PTR process since the review processes are similar and Sabbatical committee is light on work in the Spring. LCPT also suggested making some changes to the “Post-tenure Review Criteria and Procedures.” Sara said she would put together a proposal/plan and give to Sherry.

Jon Giullian and Sally Haines from the Sabbatical committee agreed with Whitney and Sara’s suggestions, but thought it would be important for the Sabbatical committee to increase in size from 3 members to 5 members. The committee would then also need to be renamed to something more appropriate.

This change would involve a vote and changes to the code & bylaws.

Sherry briefed Exec about her meeting with Kent and Mary. They discussed travel money and LRF money.

Exec discussed the idea of creating a task force to deal with the governance structure. They could look at other models around campus to see what different groups are doing. Elspeth looked at the University Senate but did not find any documents about their structure.

Action Items:

- Leah will talk to Nominating & Ballot about choosing a balloting software and where they’re at with nominations for the upcoming year
- Sherry will send a meeting request for an extra Exec meeting to form the agenda for the Spring meeting